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The formation of complex structures through self-regulating aggregation of smaller subunits is a strategy broadly observed in nature; from the cytoskeletal structure within cells to the formation of coral reefs. Self-assembly is driven by the attempt of a system to minimize its energy by spontaneous assembly of individuals units. The process of self-assembly is characterized by the formation of complex structures via the spontaneous combination of discrete small subunits at an energy minimum.

Self-assembly process could be categorized based on the size of the units into “molecular self-assembly” and “mesoscale self-assembly” (MESA).[1] Technologies based on MESA (included those at the microscale) have emerged as a promising approach for the spontaneous construction of several shapes with a large number of materials. Potential applications include microelectronics, MEMS, sensors, and micro-analytical devices.[2]

Additionally, tissue engineering can potentially benefit from directed tissue assembly, where bottom-up assembly of building blocks containing cells can be used to engineer artificial tissues.[3]

For example, cell-laden subunits have been assembled to form tissues with high spatial resolution by using both microscale self-assembly[4] and microfluidics.[5]

Most microscale self-assembly approaches use hydrophilic-hydrophobic interactions to assemble the subunits. However, a major limitation of this approach is that it can only be used to generate a limited number of shapes that are defined by the boundaries between the different phases.[6] In this work, we introduce a technique where a surface, acting as a template, partially restricts the subunits by confining them and direct the assembly process. In particular, we used polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), a versatile and widely used elastomeric material that can introduce a technique where a surface, acting as a template, can direct the construction of complex structures via the spontaneous combination of discrete small subunits at an energy minimum.

Self-assembly process could be categorized based on the size of the units into “molecular self-assembly” and “mesoscale self-assembly” (MESA).[1] Technologies based on MESA (included those at the microscale) have emerged as a promising approach for the spontaneous construction of several shapes with a large number of materials. Potential applications include microelectronics, MEMS, sensors, and micro-analytical devices.[2]

Additionally, tissue engineering can potentially benefit from directed tissue assembly, where bottom-up assembly of building blocks containing cells can be used to engineer artificial tissues.[3]

For example, cell-laden subunits have been assembled to form tissues with high spatial resolution by using both microscale self-assembly[4] and microfluidics.[5]

Most microscale self-assembly approaches use hydrophilic-hydrophobic interactions to assemble the subunits. However, a major limitation of this approach is that it can only be used to generate a limited number of shapes that are defined by the boundaries between the different phases.[6] In this work, we introduce a technique where a surface, acting as a template, partially restricts the subunits by confining them and direct the assembly process. In particular, we used polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), a versatile and widely used elastomeric material that can easily be molded to replicate the shape and topography of many structures in 2D and 3D.[7]

As presented in Figure 1, microgels made with specific shapes were mixed in a pre-polymer solution (Fig. 1A) and spread on a PDMS surface (Fig. 1B). During this process, the liquid wets the surface and drags the microgel subunits as it covers the PDMS template (Fig. 1C). Upon removal of the excess pre-polymer (e.g., by pipetting or by using an absorbent material), microgels assemble due to the capillary forces of the remaining pre-polymer. In seeking the point of minimum energy the microgels closely pack to form a “brick wall”-like structure on the surface of the template. Since the units are confined to the PDMS surface, the final structure generates a positive replica of the PDMS template (Fig. 1D). To stabilize the resulting assembly, the structure was illuminated a second time to crosslink the polymer remaining between the units. This generated a mechanically robust structure that could be separated from its PDMS template (Fig. 1E).

In contrast to the previously reported examples of microscale self-assembly, the technique presented here can be used to construct a range of shapes. In this paper we generate a small number of shapes that we consider relevant for biological applications, or those unable to be obtained by any other technique, such as a tube, a casquet (i.e., empty semi-sphere) and a solid “sphere” (Fig. 2).

To show the utility of the PDMS template we demonstrated the non-template based aggregation of microgels in a hydrophobic fluid. The sphere is an example of self-aggregation of hydrophilic blocks in a hydrophobic media. In contrast with the other examples in Figure 2, it was made in absence of a mold. In this process, as the units were added to a low density hydrophobic media (i.e., mineral oil), they spontaneously assembled into a compact sphere that lowered their interface area with the oil.

By introducing a surface to direct the assembly, a range of other shapes were fabricated. As an example, a hollow tube-like structure was fabricated by using the surface of a PDMS column (3 mm (d) × 1 cm (h)) as a template for microgel assembly. Initial trials of direct absorption of the building blocks in prepolymer solution showed a preferred aggregation on the PDMS surface. This tendency increased as the gels were mechanically forced into close contact with the surface. When the excess prepolymer was removed, the blocks remained on the PDMS surface and were compacted because of the capillarity action of the remaining prepolymer between the blocks.

A limitation with using a hydrophobic PDMS surface is that in some cases the resulting microgel assembly was discontinuous and composed of groups of building blocks. These results were greatly enhanced when a PDMS surface with high affinity to the polyethylene glycol (PEG) solution was employed. To achieve this, we oxidized the PDMS surface with air plasma[8] to increase the spreading of the subunits in the solution on the surface in addition to their tendency to form a monolayer. Any remaining local defects could be easily removed mechanically. The elimination of the excessive prepolymer producing the compact...
aggregation followed by a short UVA treatment (5 s) gave rise to the tube in Figure 2. To verify the possibility of using a mixture of different subunits, some of the microgels were stained green and randomly incorporated into the tubular structure.

For the fabrication of the casquet in Figure 2, a hydrophilic (i.e., oxidized) PDMS surface was employed. As in the previous case, the surface was wetted with a drop of pre-polymer solution containing microgel subunits. A small agitation of the entire system provided sufficient energy to spontaneously assemble the microscale cubes, resulting in the formation of a monolayer of units on the surface (Fig. 2C). As previously, the excess liquid pre-polymer was removed and the resulting structure was stabilized by a secondary crosslinking step.

Interestingly, the quality of the aggregation improved as the building blocks were immersed in a hydrophobic solution before the secondary crosslinking step. This “forced” the microgels against the PDMS surface and reduced the probability of overlapping.

Several factors such as capillarity, media viscosity and hydrophobicity of the template affect the assembly of the block units. For example, capillary forces limit the movement of the block units to the surface of the PDMS and drive the assembly process. In particular, upon removal of the excess prepolymer, the remaining solution forms numerous capillary bridges between adjacent microgels blocks, sticking them together.[9] In comparison with those microscale self-assembly techniques where surface adhesion between different units is the main driving force,[6] here the microgel assembly is driven by the template geometries and capillary force. The hydrophilic characteristics of the subunits promote the capture of water (and the consequent growth of the capillary bridges) increasing the binding effect of the water due to capillary forces.[10]

In addition to the surface modification of the PDMS discussed above, the dissolved polymer chains played a fundamental part in the aggregation. Units dissolved in PEG/phosphate buffered saline (PEG/PBS) solution tended to join strongly with PDMS and other units; but when units were dissolved in PBS only, aggregation was much weaker and in most cases insufficient for reliable assembly process. This observation agrees with those in hydrophobically driven self-assembly of PEG,[11] where more compact aggregates were observed in presence of pre-polymer solution instead of only PBS, an effect produced because the soluble polymer chains increase the viscosity of the solution, thereby increasing its resistance to the movement of the adjacent blocks.[12]

As an example of the proposed microscale self-assembly process we demonstrated its use in the assembly of cell-laden microgels. Numerous techniques exist to fabricate 3D tissue-like structures with complex microarchitectures, such as organ printing,[13] multi-layered photopatterning,[14] and microfabricated scaffolds.[15] Technologies based on
direct assembly are simple and scalable, making them useful for tissue engineering. Furthermore, since tissues are made from repeating functional tissue units (e.g., liver and renal lobules), the use of cell-laden units for the construction of a functional organ piece-by-piece presents a bioinspired approach that may be able to create tissue-like structural complexity. Previous studies have demonstrated the long-term viability and metabolic activity of cells encapsulated in PEG-based hydrogels of similar characteristics to those employed here\[16,17\]. These studies show that, even if the photoinitiator employed has an almost null toxicity,\[18\] the photolithography process may induce cell death because of the free radicals generated by the UVA radiation.\[19\] Therefore, to decrease cell mortality when fabricating cell-laden units and to enable long-term cell culture, the crosslinker amount and the UV time must be minimized.\[20\] Here, to validate the utility of this process in biological applications, we analyzed the cell survival and death after the encapsulation and the assembly process.

As shown in Figure 3, hepatocyte-laden microgel subunits of 0.5 mm \(\times\) 0.5 mm \(\times\) 0.5 mm were assembled to form a 5 mm in diameter and 1 cm long tube. The cells were stained with an EthD-1/Calcein assay to indicate the live (green) and dead (red) cells. As it can be seen, a large fraction of cells remained viable after the assembly and secondary crosslinking processes. Upon quantification the average survival rate of cells was \(83.1 \pm 2.3\)\% after the encapsulation.

While the present technique is applicable to a wide range of materials, PEG was chosen because of its ability to form gels and its widespread use in bio-related applications. A key limitation with most cell-laden hydrogels is the diffusion of the gas/nutrients through the polymer, which limit the maximum size of the units. For example, PEG gels thicker than 1 mm have shown high viability in their periphery and lower cell viability at the core, because transport limitations inevitably end in the necrosis of the inner cells.\[21\] Therefore, the results may benefit from the use of smaller polymer units that will, in addition, increase the resolution of the assembly process. Besides the tissue-like structures, other applications such as drug delivery or electronics can potentially benefit from this technique.\[22\]

To investigate the ability of the technique to generate large structures for smaller subunits, we produced a 3 mm diameter tube formed by 100 \(\mu\)m side cubic units (Fig. 4A and B). With the coarse photolithographic technique that was employed, we have found that subunits were pyramid-like while increasing the radiation time produced a connected layer of crosslinked polymer in between the subunits. Despite the irregular shape of the subunits, the aggregation remained effective with the only difference in observation being a more disordered appearance of the tube wall surface (Fig. 4B). We also demonstrated that larger scaffolds were fabricated by using a layer-by-layer approach. In Figure 4E we used a 5 mm in diameter PDMS tube to generate a tube made from 500 \(\mu\)m subunits. To demonstrate that the process can be used to generate multi-layered structures, a layer of Nile-red labeled subunits were assembled on the preformed tube. The process, that can be
repeated to produce subsequent layers, was similar to the one employed above with the PDMS support. This demonstrates the scalability of the technique and the possibility to reproduce the coaxial structures broadly observed in technology and biology (e.g., in vascular vessels).

In biological applications, the speed and autonomy of the self-aggregation are crucial while small defects on the surface (i.e., subunits dislocations) are tolerable. However the surface driven assembly technique can also be employed to aggregate the pieces forming the scaffold one by one, providing a precise and error-free result with the possibility of employing non-symmetric subunits. The process is similar to the one used above with the exception of the subunits, instead of being deposited in solution, were trapped on a wet surface one by one, with the assembly being driven by the capillary forces. The result of the self-assembly of a 6 mm diameter and 1cm long tube composed of 1 mm complementary subunits is presented in Figure 4C. In Figure 4D the characteristics of the wall can be seen in more detail with some of more than 350 complementary subunits forming the tubular scaffold being imaged.

Having precise control of the position of each subunit results in a more time-consuming process that is particularly suitable for “inert” components (e.g., electronic technologies). While the self-assembly of a tube formed by highly symmetrical subunits (i.e., cubes) was achieved in a few minutes, the production of the tube in the Figure 4C took more than three hours. The repetitive process however, would greatly benefit from a robotic implementation which can provide the advantage of high 3D spatial resolution to cell-laden scaffolds.

The technique presented here is highly robust and, despite inhomogeneous spreading of the polymer and shape variations in the subunits, the reliability of the technique corrects defects by the adjacent subunits or by the liquid prepolymer.

We have presented a template-based technique for the formation of macroscopic structures by the 3D aggregation of shape-controlled microgels. A broad range of fields can profit from the construction of 3D structures macroscale resolution, such as microelectronics, analytical devices and tissue engineering. Future work in this field will involve the use of the engineered structures for generating biological tissues. For technological applications, such as 3D electrical circuits, future work will be directed to the inclusion of physical cues (e.g., magnetic and electric domains) in the subunits.

**Experimental**

The shape-controlled microgels were produced by entrapping a solution of 0.5%(w/w) photoinitiator and 20%(w/w) PEG-dimethacrylate in PBS between a PDMS surface and a negative photomask. The space between both surfaces, controlled by glass spacers, gave the final thickness of the produced microgels. The illumination with a UVA for 12 s through the photomask crosslinked the exposed regions and the removal of the uncrosslinked polymer resulted in a set of freestanding PEG cubes. In the case of cell laden microgels, HepG2 cells were suspended at a concentration of 10^5 cell/mL in the pre-polymer solution and the gels were fabricated as described above.

The microgels and the pre-polymer solution were spread on the surface of the hydrophilic PDMS mould. The excess of prepolymer was removed with an absorbent material and the remained polymer forced the microgels to a close packing. A S-s UVA illumination crosslinked the whole structure, which was then separated from the PDMS template. More details of the experiments can be found in the Supporting Information.
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